

GIBRALTAR'S GAMBLE WITH 5G

The full report is available online at gibraltarmessenger.net

Introduction – The Battle with Safety Standards	Pages 2-3
SECTION 1 – ICNIRP versus The CHALLENGERS	Pages 4-18
SECTION 2 – IEEE versus The CHALLENGERS	Pages 19-28
SECTION 3 – SCENIHR versus The CHALLENGERS	Pages 29-33
SECTION 4 – PHE versus The CHALLENGERS	Pages 34-49
SECTION 5 – WHO versus The CHALLENGERS	Pages 50-62
SECTION 6 – GIBRALTAR: Welcome to the 5G Trials	Pages 63-77
SECTION 7 – GIBRALTARIANS: Welcome to the 5G Human Trials	Pages 78-95

SECTION 5 – WHO versus The CHALLENGERS

The International Commission for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (**ICNIRP**) is the most influential non-governing organization (**NGO**) in the world when it comes to setting the Electromagnetic Frequency Safety exposure limits and guidelines. Its recommendations are internationally recognized by governments around the world. Gibraltar Regulatory Authority (**GRA**), which manages the radio frequency spectrum in Gibraltar adopted ICNIRP guidelines.

Section 5 Topics Include but are not limited to these subjects –

WHO's Opinion
The International EMF Project
WHO Inner Agencies

WHO Under Fire:

- 1 The Corruption Cascade
- 2 Shenanigans dealing with Public Calls
- 3 Russian Right To Know
- 4 Accusations of industry infiltration
- 5 Accusations of intentional ignorance and denial of science
- 6 Call for EMF Project Head to resign
- 7 The Perpetual Cycle of Responsibility Disclaimer
- 8 Guidelines do not protect nature and wildlife

ALSO


Gibraltar and wildlife
Prophetic demise of the United Nations

World Health Organization (WHO) and The International EMF Project

The World Health Organization (**WHO**) is the United Nations (**UN**) group responsible for global public health. In order to address the growing health concerns about EMF exposure, in 1996, WHO launched **The EMF Project**, now known as an international **project**. Interestingly, the founder of the EMF Project was Michael Repacholi, who also founded the **INCIRP**.

There is collaboration between WHO and its sub-agencies, such as with the **EMF Project**, International Telecommunications Union (**ITU**), and the Agency for Research on Cancer (**IARC**).

INCIRP acts in an official advisory group for WHO. And WHO maintains close relations with the European Commission Directorate – General for Health and Food Safety (DG SANTÉ), which oversees **SCENIR/SCHEER**. (The International EMF Project Progress **Report** June 2015-2016).



Source: EMF Project

By now, you know the drill.

WHO's OPINION:

Extensive research has been conducted into possible health effects of exposure to many parts of the frequency spectrum. All reviews conducted so far have indicated that exposures below the limits recommended in the ICNIRP (1998) EMF guidelines, covering the full frequency range from 0-300 GHz, do not produce any known adverse health effect. However, there are gaps in knowledge still needing to be filled before better health risk assessments can be made. (WHO EMF Research)

For more detail, see **Electromagnetic Fields and Public Health: Radars and Human Health** and please note the last sentence, "However, more research is needed to fill certain gaps in knowledge."

It seems like the **majority** of independent scientists may have filled in many of those gaps – already.

Another WHO publication is **Electromagnetic fields and public health: mobile phones**, which reported that To date, no adverse health effects have been established as being caused by mobile phone use.

That's their story; and they're sticking to it – still.

Please note the last paragraph on long-term exposure:

While an increased risk of brain tumors is not established, the increasing use of mobile phones and the **lack of data** for mobile phone use over time periods longer than 15 years warrant further research of mobile phone use and brain cancer risk. In particular, with the recent popularity of mobile phone use among **younger people**, and therefore a potentially longer lifetime of exposure, WHO has promoted further research on this group. Several studies investigating potential health effects in children and adolescents are underway.

The strong will oppress the weak. The rich will oppress the poor. The clever will oppress the not so clever and there will be continual struggle for survival, leading to crime, violence, war and eventually total annihilation - Armageddon. How can there possibly NOT be? – **The 1st Principle of God's Theocracy**

WHO UNDER FIRE:

The **FIRST EXAMPLE** of WHO being under fire discloses the **shenanigans** that go on in their scientific review process.


Shenanigans:

According to – “[Will WHO kick its ICNIRP Habit](#)” on *Microwave News*, WHO issued a public call in October 2019 for detailed literature reviews on ten types of RF-health impacts from cancer to fertility to electro-hypersensitivity.

But before thinking this is a sign that WHO is interested in opinions beyond those of its core group, look how it handled the public call, as described in the article.

Article notes:

In 2012, the WHO Radiation Program started working on a revision to its 1993 Environmental Health Criteria (EHC) monograph, with a target completion date of 2016. Eleven chapters of a draft report were released in 2014; however **missing** were at least three chapters. Public comments to that draft were never released. Not surprising – the second draft got stalled.



Source: World Health Organization:
Setting the standard for a wireless world of harm

Many question if **WHO** is just only going through the motions, and plans to stick with ICNIRP's position that there are no RF effects other than those caused by heating – most of us can make a wager on the results.

WHO set a very tight schedule. Emilie van Deventer, the team leader of the WHO radiation program, sent a notice, dated September 2019, to **her** mailing list, but according to *Microwave News*, some didn't receive it until October 8. The deadline for the responses to the public call was November 4, 2019. This is a narrow window of time, given that putting together review teams is no easy task.

If scientists weren't on her mailing list, their chances to answer the call were thwarted. Dr. Joel Moskowitz, who is critical of ICNIRP, and Dr. Dariusz Leszczynski, who was a member of the IARC RF-cancer assessment in 2011, were not on her list.

The article brings up additional aspects of the rush timeline and questions about the selection process, budget, and disclosure of “winners”.

The timeline is also suspicious, because WHO EMF managers knew years ago that reviews would be required as part of new writing procedures; and. Van Deventer laid out what had to be done at an EMF Project advisory committee meeting in June 2017.

Why did she wait until last autumn to issue the call with that tight schedule, given she regularly briefed the International Telecommunications Union (**ITU**), informing them WHO would review the 2014 draft? In May 2019, she told them that she would commission eight systematic reviews (later expanding to ten). Why were telecom managers better briefed on the pending reviews than those in the health sciences who would be doing them?

A few days earlier at the same ITU meeting, the Mobile and Wireless Forum (**MWF**), a trade association formerly known as the Mobile Manufacturers Forum, was invited to give a presentation on “Preparing for 5G: Research Relating to RF Exposure.”

E-mail traffic, shared with Microwave News, shows that van Deventer’s briefings were well circulated among ITU’s corporate members who follow the health question.


The lack of advance notice and the fast deadline have led some to question whether the WHO engineered the schedule to help ICNIRP stay in control... ICNIRP members would be well prepared to respond to the calls. They have recently finished their own literature reviews to update ICNIRP’s exposure guidelines, issued in 1998. (End of article notes)

The ITU is a public-private partnership with many government and corporate members. ITU coordinates the shared global use of the radio spectrum. They were included in a question posed by Take Back Your Power’s article [The Dominoes Are Starting To Fall](#).

TAKE BACK YOUR
POWER

HOME WATCH SHOP RESEARCH DONATE ABOUT

How do you stop the rollout of a **12 trillion dollar technology** promoted by one of the most profitable industries on the planet and bulldozed through by the **EU, FCC** and the whole **UN**, most notably its WiFi enablers, **WHO** and **ITU**?



Oleg Grigoriev, the chairman of the Russian national non-ionizing radiation committee, complained that the core group that drafted the 2014 **ICNIRP** report was –

[IT WAS] not balanced and [did] not represent the point of view of [a] majority [of the] scientific community studying [the] effects of RF.” He and others were disappointed that the **WHO had failed to go beyond the heat-only dogma embraced by ICNIRP**.

SIDE NOTE – Russian Right-To-Know:

Oleg Grigoriev was instrumental in the call for a Russian Right-To-Know consumer warning. Following a release of a published paper co-written by Oleg Grigoriev, in October 2019, the Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection [called](#) for the release of **new EMF hazard warning signs** for wireless users of mobile phones and other EMF sources. By the way, Oleg Grigoriev has a [twitter](#) account in English.

Luke 12:1 In the mean time, when there were gathered together an innumerable multitude of people, insomuch that they trode one upon another, he began to say unto his disciples first of all, **Beware ye of the leaven of the politicians, which is hypocrisy.**

Luke 12:2 For there is nothing covered, that shall not be **revealed**; neither hid, that shall not **be known**.

Luke 12:3 Therefore whatsoever ye have spoken in darkness shall be heard in the light; and that which ye have spoken in the ear in closets shall be proclaimed upon the housetops.

The **SECOND EXAMPLE** of WHO being under fire reveals a more sinister plot than just shenanigans.

In the report, “[World Health Organization: Setting the standard for a wireless world of harm](#),” Olga Sheenan accuses WHO of industry infiltration, intentional ignorance, denial of the science, and disregard for humanity, as described in the report.

Industry Infiltration:

Sheenan cites one example of industry infiltration as Emile van Deventer, the project head, because she has no medical or health qualifications, but was an electric engineer who carried out industry-funded research.

Intentional ignorance:

Important UN documents are translated into the official UN languages of French, Spanish, Chinese and Russian, among others.

The UN has translators in all languages and ample expertise at its disposal. Yet, WHO claimed:

*Due to restricted language competence of available experts and restricted options for translations, **none of the identified Russian papers and only epidemiological Chinese papers have been included.***



[Olga Sheenan](#) also wrote that it is widely known that Russian scientists are way ahead of the west, in terms of their EMF research and expertise. Studies published in recent years reveal that over 40 Russian-language papers have been missed (the abstracts, in English, can be found on most scientific citation databases). This means not only that WHO will not assess all of the literature, but also that the literature from China and Russia, where RF emission standards are justifiably more stringent, is being intentionally omitted.

Important primary research studies and papers describing the rationale and evidence for the Russian standards being 100 times more stringent (safer) than those of ICNIRP, Canada, the USA and most European countries, have been made to un-happen.

Denial of the science:

The review will consider only “experimental studies with laboratory animals and observational studies on domestic animals”. Dismissed would be the well-designed and blinded study conducted on the European robin – clearly demonstrating non-thermal effects of ambient radiofrequency radiation. Also excluded would be the many studies on insects and plants, which show non-thermal effects at below international standards.

Sheenan also brought up that the review process failed to involve specialists, also writing that WHO made it physically impossible for scientists to comment on the material that was withheld – **missing**.

My problem is the unfinished documents and the missing, unwritten chapters. This is not how consultation should be done. Documents should be reading, including conclusion and recommendations. As it is now, **the WHO EMF Project is pretending to conduct consultations...**

– Dr. Dariusz Leszczynski, PhD, MSc, DSc



The WHO draft is biased towards a null result. Findings of an association between the use of wireless phones and cordless phones and an increased risk of brain tumours are misinterpreted, selectively reported and/or omitted in total. The draft cannot be used as a science-based evaluation of increased risk. **It must be re-written in a balanced way by scientists trained in epidemiology and oncology – not to mention medicine – and without any conflict of interest.**

– Lennart Hardell, MD, PhD and Michael Carlberg, MSc, Oncology, University Hospital, Orebro, Sweden. Dr. Hardell's has an online [blog](#).



Disregard for humanity:

Sheenan describes more shenanigans –

The consistent circulation of **disinformation** by industries with a vested interest in burying the science confirming the dangers of their product/service has led to doubt, endless debate, public confusion and inaction among governments and healthcare agencies.

The wireless industry has for decades engaged the services of members of the scientific community who **are willing to represent their interests**. [...] these scientists are then upgraded to ‘expert’ status and placed in national and international committees, which are mostly responsible for advising government agencies and educating the public.

SIDE NOTE – Report Inclusions

1 – In December 2016, a VOTE of NO CONFIDENCE in WHO and its EMF Project was initiated in response to the growing concerns among the millions of global citizens being harmed by radiofrequency/microwave radiation.

2 – A call for the replacement of Emilie van Deventer as head of the EMF Project was issued.



Emilie van Deventer, has no medical or health-related qualifications, has proudly proclaimed her contribution to the wireless telecommunications industry, as an electrical engineer who carried out industry-funded research to make cell phone technology faster and better – NOT to reduce its harmful effects. Given van Deventer’s background, it is an act of willful negligence and staggering audacity for WHO to have hired her to head its EMF Project.

Industry is not interested in your health. They want the network to work properly.
– Emilie Van Deventer, speaking to journalist Karl Muller at a SABS/STUK conference in Johannesburg in 2007 (per comms)

3 –The International EMF Project is a telecom mole hiding in plain sight within WHO.

Its initial funding was money laundered via the Royal Adelaide Hospital, whereby the telecoms industry sent funds to the hospital and the hospital ‘donated’ the money to the International EMF Project. The tobacco industry had a similar effect on WHO many years ago, causing substantial embarrassment for the organization.

—L. Lloyd Morgan, Senior Research Fellow Environmental Health Trust (ehtrust.org).


If you think that we’ve been running around in circles with all these players in the alphabet-soup brigade, that was probably THEIR intention.

Who’s to blame:

Norwegian Scientist Einar Flydal gives more detail in his English [presentation](#) (23:42 min) entitled:

The Big Responsibility Disclaimer Machine: How the Nordic radiation protection authorities, the EU, WHO, and ICNIRP Free Themselves From Responsibility of Fraudulent Advice.

Flydal also explained that the guidelines take in only human results; and because humans live a long time compared to bees, the DNA damage shows up much slower. NGOs also get away with excluding studies on environmental damage like dying trees.



Gibraltar is also playing a responsibility disclaimer game – pay attention.

By choosing humans, they ensure the damage will show up fairly slowly.

This would seem to be what they are banking on. There's plenty of money to be made in the mean time. By the time they are forced to recognize the dangers, the **DEATH STAR** would have already been built – so to speak.

While the BioInitiative **Report** is a good counter to INCIRP's report; Dr. Pall's **report** is a good counter to SCENHIR's report; and Dr. Sarah Starkey's **report** is a good counter for the PHE/AGNIR report; Olga Sheenan's **report** is a good counter to WHO/EMF Project reports.



Star Wars – Fact Not Fiction

Who Cares About Wildlife and Nature?

The guidelines developed, set by, or adopted by ICNIRP, IEEE, SCENHIR/SCHEER, PHE, AGNIR/COMARE, WHO and countless governments **do not** and are not concerned with the environment, wildlife, pets, or nature.

Do you understand that? Read the **EKLIPSE REPORT**.


In his presentation, Einar Flydal told us why they only take humans into consideration – the damage is considered to happen slowly in human bodies. That's why the evil scientists refuse to consider long-term effects. Even decades later, they still only, and adamantly, consider short-term effects – from heating. There is absolutely only one reason for this. They are evil (**1 John 3:7-12**).

The Environmental Risk Assessment:

Where is the environmental risk assessment from the **Ministry of the Environment** when it comes to EMF radiation and its effects on the gardens, apes, bats, migrating birds and bees?

Consider a report done in 2012 in India, entitled, **Impacts of radio-frequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) from cell phone towers and wireless devices on biosystem and ecosystem – a review**.

The Department of Telecommunication in India set new norms for cell phone towers with effect from September 1, 2012. Exposure standards for RF-EMF radiation has been reduced to one-tenth of the existing level and SAR from 2 to 1.6W/kg. This came after the ministry of environment and forest set up an Inter-ministerial Committee to study the effects of RF-EMF radiations on wildlife (Figure 2) and concluded that out of the 919 research papers collected on birds, bees, plants, other animals, and humans, 593 showed impacts, 180 showed no impacts, and 196 were inconclusive studies.



Again, claiming no evidence exists is a matter of opinion – and the Gibraltar Ministry of Health and the Environment is failing its due diligence.

Sura 35:5-6 O men! Certainly the promise of “I AM” is true. Let not then this present life deceive you, nor let the Chief Deceiver (John 8:35) deceive you about “I AM”.

Verily Satan is an enemy to you: **SO TREAT HIM AS YOUR ENEMY**. He only invites his adherents, that they may become Companions of the Blazing Fire.

As a reminder, the India report referenced the general public power density levels in W/m² applied to different countries, shown in its table to the right.


If EMF radiation is perceived **AS SAFE** within ICNIRP guidelines, why such a **difference in levels between the countries?**

Well, certainly not all of them bank on what the ICNIRP and IEEE tell them.

Are the countries with stricter limits just full of stupid luddites and falsely-alarmed government officials? That’s a stupid question, because clearly they seem to take EMF radiation concerns more seriously.

In truth, many global catastrophic events are “industry-made” – this includes all pollution industry unleashed on the world since the Industrial Revolution. Still today, they are allowed to pollute the ground, water and air – and EMF radiation is a toxic pollutant.

India’s report included references to studies, with the following excerpts –




1 – Bees have clusters of magnetite in the abdominal areas. Colony collapse disorder (CCD) was observed in beehives exposed to 900MHz for 10 minutes, with sudden disappearance of a hive’s inhabitants, leaving only queen, eggs, and a few immature workers behind. With navigational skills affected, worker bees stopped coming to the hives after 10 days and egg production in queen bees dropped drastically to 100 eggs/day compared to 350 eggs. (Sharma and Kumar, 2010)



2 – Frogs: In a two-month study in Spain in common frog tadpoles on the effects of mobile phone mast located at a distance of 140 m noted low coordination of movements, an asynchronous growth, resulting in both big and small tadpoles, and a high mortality (90%) in exposed group. For the unexposed group in Faraday cage, the coordination of movements was normal, the development was synchronous, and a mortality of 4.2% was obtained (Balmori, 2009).

Table 2: Reference levels for the general public.

Country/organization Standards	Power density (W/m ²)	
	900MHz	1800MHz
ICNIRP, 1998, adopted by India	4.5	9
FCC, 1999	6	10
IEEE, USA, 1999	6	12
Australia	2	2
Belgium	1.1	2.4
Italy	1	1
Israel	x	1
New Zealand	x	0.5
China	x	0.4
Russia	x	0.2
Hungary	0.1	0.1
Toronto Board of Health, Canada, 1999	0.06	0.1
Switzerland	0.04	0.1
France	x	0.1
Germany, ECOLOG, 1998	x	0.09
Austria’s precautionary limit	0.001	0.001



3 – A study by the Centre for Environment and Vocational Studies of Punjab University noted that embryos of 50 eggs of house sparrows were damaged after being exposed to mobile tower radiation for 5–30 minutes (MOEF, 2010). Observed changes included reproductive and coordination problems and aggressiveness. Tower-emitted microwave radiation affected bird breeding, nesting, and roosting in **Valladolid, Spain** (US Fish & Wildlife Service, 2009). **House sparrows, white storks, rock doves, magpies, collared doves** exhibited nest and site abandonment, plumage deterioration (lack of shine, beardless rachis, etc.), locomotion problems, and even death among some birds. No symptoms were observed prior to construction of the cell phone towers.



4 – **White storks** were heavily impacted by the tower radiation during the 2002–2004 nesting season in **Spain**. Evidence of a connection between sparrow decline in UK and the introduction of phone mast GSM was established (Balmori, 2009). In a study in Spain, the effects of mobile phone masts have been noted in house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*), white stork (*Ciconia ciconia*), reporting problems with

reproduction, circulatory, and central nervous system, general health and well-being (micro-wave syndrome) (Balmori, 2009). Deformities and deaths were noted in the domestic chicken embryos subjected to low-level, non-thermal radiation from the standard 915MHz cell phone frequency under laboratory conditions (USFWS, 2009).



5 – **Bats** use electromagnetic sensors in different frequencies. Since 1998, a study on a free-tailed bat colony, having *Tadarida teniotis* and *Pipistrellus pipistrellus* has been carried out in **Spain** and a decrease in the number of bats were noted with several phone masts 80m from the colony. A dead specimen of *Myotis myotis* was found near a small antenna in the city centre (Balmori, 2009).

How will the **bats in Gibraltar** fair with even more EMF radiation? Perhaps the ones who study them would be interested or concerned, because the number of bats have plummeted over the years, according to Gibraltar National Museum's [GIB BATS](#).

6 – The most affected of the species are **bees, birds, and bats** and without these pollinators visiting flowers, 33% of fruits and vegetables would not exist, and as the number of pollinators decline, the agricultural crops will fall short and the price of groceries will go up (Kevan and Phillips, 2001).


Also concerning bats: Why would researchers [study](#) this – **Bats Avoid Radar Installations**: Could EMF Deter Bats from Colliding with Wind Turbines?

Just a reminder – killing off the pollinating bees is considered a [catastrophic](#) event, as so is [annihilating a species](#). Einstein said that if the bees disappear, within three years so will mankind.

Value nature not stuff:

According to the National Geographic article, [One million species at risk of extinction, UN report warns](#), economic growth is going to have to take a back seat.

In order to safeguard a healthy planet, **society needs to shift from a sole focus on chasing economic growth**, the summary report concludes. That won't be easy, the report acknowledges. But it could get easier if countries begin to base their economies on an understanding that nature is the foundation for development. Shifting to nature-based planning can help provide a better quality of life with far less impact.



The Barbary macaque population in Gibraltar is the only wild monkey population on the European continent. They occupy the Upper Rock area of the Gibraltar Nature Reserve, though they make occasional forays into the town. Have they been unknowingly experimental monkeys in mankind's world of WIFI?

The bonds that hold nature together may be at risk of unraveling from deforestation, overfishing, development, and **other human activities**, a landmark United Nations report warns. Thanks to human pressures, one million species may be pushed to extinction in the next few years, with serious consequences for human beings as well as the rest of life on Earth.

The UN's Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services was published in May 2019, which is [summarized](#) on Wikipedia.

The report states that in the past half-century, the Earth's biodiversity has suffered a catastrophic decline. It examined the rate of decline in biodiversity and found that the adverse effects of human activities on the world's species is "unprecedented in human history" – one million species, including 40 percent of amphibians, almost a third of reef-building corals, more than a third of marine mammals, and 10 percent of all insects are threatened with extinction.


Rev. 11:18 And the nations were angry, and Thy wrath is come, and the Time of the "Dead", that they should be Judged, and that Thou shouldest give reward unto Thy Servants the Prophets, and to the holy people, and them that fear Thy name, small and great; and shouldest DESTROY THEM WHICH DESTROY THE EARTH.

The Report warned that society should not fixate on economic growth, and that countries should “base their economies on an understanding that nature is the foundation for development.”

How is this warning going to fit in with the rat-race of winning in the 5G arena for “economic growth”? Most governments are likely to let it slide, because they'll still value money first. They are so focused on it that they can't see how selfish they are – or even how blind they've become.

The selfish case for saving bees: it's how to save ourselves – *Guardian* article features on the UN report and some of the reasons bees are becoming extinct.

This month a landmark UN global assessment report warned that a million wildlife species were facing extinction, and at an unprecedented rate. Thousands of bee species will be among them. In Europe, 37% of them have experienced a recent decline in populations, and 9% face extinction; almost a quarter of those in North America are at increasing risk of becoming extinct.



Source: [IPBES Global Assessment Report](#)

Human society under urgent threat from loss of Earth's natural life – Guardian article added:

The biomass of wild mammals has fallen by 82%, natural ecosystems have lost about half their area and a million species are at risk of extinction – all largely as a result of human actions, said the study, compiled over three years by more than 450 scientists and diplomats.

In 2017, the *Guardian* alerted readers about the declining insect numbers – Warning of 'ecological Armageddon' after dramatic plunge in insect numbers:

The abundance of flying insects has plunged by three-quarters over the past 25 years, according to a new study that has shocked scientists.

Shocked is probably too dramatic of a word – they've known for a long time that instead of getting better, things are getting worse. Many are just paid to hide it, skirt the issue, or deceive. As long as they can keep it in the category of *we don't know why yet*, real solutions are unlikely to occur.

And concerning the issue of birds, bees and the like, the UN report conveniently ignores the big elephant in the room. For example, besides real estate development and pesticides wreaking havoc on the populations, they ignore possible technological saturation involved in [environmental degradation](#), which is defined as **any** change or disturbance to the environment perceived to be deleterious or undesirable. When it comes to Bee Colony Collapse (BCC), nothing should be overlooked.

For example, take the often cited U.S. Fish and Wildlife Report – “Briefing Paper on the Need for Research into the Cumulative Impacts of Communication Towers on Migratory Birds and Other Wildlife in the United States Division of Migratory Bird Management (DMBM)”

Radiation has also been implicated in effects on domestic honeybees, pollinators whose numbers have recently been declining due to “colony collapse disorder” (CCD) by 60% at U.S. West Coast apiaries and 70% along the East Coast (Cane and Tepedino 2001). CCD is being documented in Greece, Italy, Germany, Portugal, Spain, and Switzerland. One theory regarding bee declines proposes that radiation from mobile phone antennas is interfering with bee navigational systems. Studies performed in Europe have documented navigational disorientation, lower honey production, and decreased bee survivorship (Harst et al. 2006, Kimmel et al. 2006, Bowling 2007). This research needs further replication and scientific review, including in North America. Because pollinators, including birds, bees, and bats, play a fundamental role in food security (33% of our fruits and vegetables would not exist without pollinators visiting flowers [Kevan and Phillips 2001]), as pollinator numbers decline, the price of groceries goes up.

In May 2009, The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service urged Congress to investigate the potential relationship between wireless devices and bee colony collapse.

Despite the UN-WHO refusing to look at the possibility that EMF radiation as a possible cause, there are more studies connecting the dots, similar to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife report.



The EKLIPSE project (Establishing a European Knowledge and Learning Mechanism to Improve the Policy-Science-Society Interface on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services) is another example of assessing the situation in terms of how EMF radiation might be involved. The increase of EMR and its potential effects on wildlife has already been identified by an international experts group led by Bill Sutherland as 1 of the 15 emerging issues that could affect global biodiversity but that are not yet well recognised by the scientific community. ([A report of the EKLIPSE project](#)).

A key policy recommendation refers to the urgent need to allocate more funding to research on the topic. Participants also emphasized the importance of

bringing together different stakeholders (not only scientists, but also policymakers, businesses, citizens, decision makers, etc.) and to set up advisory groups. Finally, some participants recommended to apply the **precautionary principle**, to define and set safe limits to EMR exposure, and to **avoid placing EMR sources in nature reserves/wildlife areas**.

More on EKLIPSE can be found on its [website](#). **Make sure those involved with wildlife get this report.**

Buglife initiated the EKLIPSE report and its CEO Matt Shardlow warned in the article [Our cellphone addiction is turning wireless tech into a visual weapon that's destroying wildlife](#) –

There is a credible risk that 5G could impact significantly on wildlife.

He called out to telecommunications firms to research the impact of their wireless technology on wildlife and to make their findings public. More of his interview can be read in The Telegraph article – [Electromagnetic radiation from power lines and phone masts poses 'credible' threat to wildlife, report finds](#).

Dr. Joel Moskowitz also [featured](#) the EKLIPSE report on his website Electromagnetic Radiation Safety. He and others have [reached](#) out to the United Nations Environment Programme. In a letter in July 2019, they urged the UNEP to *undertake an unbiased, comprehensive review of the scientific literature on EMF effects on the natural environment and make recommendations that could be applied as an integral part of developing international and national health-based and **environmentally-protective** EMF-exposure standards.*

Still yet another resource is the EHTrust – [Bees, Birds and Wildlife](#).

The article [Wireless Devices & Wildlife: The effects of EMR from wireless devices on wildlife](#) briefly sums up other studies.


Excerpts include –

Bees and other insects, just as birds, **use the Earth's magnetic field and high frequency electromagnetic energy** such as light. They accomplish orientation and **navigation** by means of free radicals as well as a simultaneously reacting magnetite conglomerate. Technically produced electromagnetic oscillations in the MHz range and magnetic impulses in the low frequency range persistently disturb the natural orientation and navigation mechanisms created by evolution.


– German scientist Ulrich Warnke

Recent studies from Europe raise troubling concerns about the effects of radiation from **cellular communication antennas, especially on resident, breeding migratory birds**. These apparent effects include feather deformities, weight loss, weakness, reduced survivorship and death, especially to those birds and their offspring nesting adjacent to cellular antennas. Where Before-After, Control-Impact (BACI) studies were performed during some of the European research, no effects to resident birds were detected prior to construction and operation of cellular communication antennas. – Dr. Manville

Trees are now dying mysteriously from a variety of diseases in urban areas all over Europe. They also show abnormal photoperiodic responses. All of these can be explained as a result of exposure to weak RF fields from mobile phones, their base stations, Wi-Fi and similar sources of weak non-ionizing radiation. – British biologist Dr. Andrew Goldsworthy



Robins can navigate in the Earth's magnetic field if they receive light from wavelengths absorbed by cryptochrome. However, **exposure** to man-made frequencies between 0.1 and 10MHz at field strengths as little as 0.085 mT (about 500 times weaker than the Earth's magnetic field) **made the birds completely unable to respond to the Earth's field**.



In the **Strait of Gibraltar**, 28 raptor species and 2 stork species can be observed migrating regularly.

[Migrating Birds – The Strait of Gibraltar.](#)

The sheer number of wireless devices gives birds continuously conflicting navigational data – as if they’re constantly bombarded by flashing disco lights. We should not be surprised that birds would leave such areas. Likewise, scientists who put DECT cordless phone base stations next to their beehives found that their bees behaved abnormally and were less likely to return to the hive. (Beekeepers are thereby well advised not to carry their mobile phones when visiting their hives.)

See also: [The Environmental Page](#) on JAHTruth.net.

Radio-frequency radiation interferes with the biological clock:

Every living cell in plants and animals has a biological clock mechanism that help them regulate enzymes, because they sense when it is day or night. These circadian rhythms are seriously disrupted by radio frequency electromagnetic radiation. ([Why Our Urban Trees are Dying](#))

We have seen this happen with the electrical potentials measured in trees at Alphen aan den Rijn and Wageningen. The potentials (which are a measure of physiological activity) followed a 24-hour cycle but faded out in a matter of weeks when radio frequency radiation was applied from a WiFi access point. This is bad news since it means that anything controlled by the clock can never work at peak activity under these circumstances.

Note: More on tree damage, like pictured to the right – [Tree damage caused by mobile phone base stations](#)



The United Nations Will Be Brought to Naught:

The Holy Bible shows strong, convincing, and conclusive evidence in every trial by Lucifer to usurp The Guardian of Divinity (**GOD**) and His Prophets, Messengers, Deliverers, Revealers and Savior fails. This 100 percent accuracy rate of failure predicts his [final attempt](#) will fail completely. There is sufficient and overwhelming evidence that [end-time prophecy](#) is occurring at a rate of 24/7/52. A small sample of test subjects shows [positive results](#) for a Golden Millennium outcome. It is clear that the majority of controlled subjects will experience excessive radiation heat, which will cause burning sensations to the soul for a duration of eternity, an outcome referred to as Hell-Fire.

Ezekiel 13:10 Because, even because they have seduced My people, saying, Peace; and [there was] NO peace; and one built up a wall (U.N.O. and NATO), and, lo, others daubed it with untempered [mortar]:


Ezekiel 13:11 Say unto them which daub [it] with untempered [mortar], that it shall fall: there shall be an overflowing shower; and ye, O great hailstones, shall fall; and a stormy wind (Operation “Desert Storm”) shall rend [it].

Ezekiel 13:12 Lo, when the wall is fallen, shall it not be said unto you, Where [is] the daubing wherewith ye have daubed [it]?

Ezekiel 13:13 Therefore thus saith the Lord “I AM”; I will even rend [it] with a stormy wind in my fury; and there shall be an overflowing shower in Mine anger, and great hailstones in [My] fury to consume [it].

Ezekiel 13:14 So will I break down the wall (U.N.) that ye have daubed with untempered [mortar], and bring it down to the ground, so that the (Illuminati) foundation thereof shall be discovered, and it shall fall, and ye shall be consumed in the midst thereof: and ye shall know that I [am] the “I AM”.

Ezekiel 13:15 Thus will I accomplish My wrath upon the wall (U.N.O. and NATO), and upon them that have daubed it with untempered [mortar], and will say unto you, The wall [is] no [more], neither they that daubed it;



Most people wonder at the purpose of life. Is there a mission or destiny for each of us?

Reconciling the claims of science with those of religion often leaves the rational mind confused.

thewayhomeorfacethefire.net

This little gem of a book is a free PDF